

From: [McKenna, Jim](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [kpine@anchorenv.com](#); [rjw@nwnatural.com](#); [voster@anchorenv.com](#)
Subject: Re: Draft PRG/RG Table
Date: 04/14/2008 03:59 PM

That would be great since it will give us some insight into the Agency's view of what is a "screen" vs "LOE" vs "PRG" vs "RG". Thanks, Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov <Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>
To: McKenna, Jim
CC: kpine@anchorenv.com <kpine@anchorenv.com>; rjw@nwnatural.com <rjw@nwnatural.com>; voster@anchorenv.com <voster@anchorenv.com>
Sent: Mon Apr 14 15:27:07 2008
Subject: Re: Draft PRG/RG Table

I think we did say that we would take a cut at it but I do not think it was definitive. It may be appropriate for us to do this to clear up any misunderstandings regarding our thought process.

Eric

"McKenna, Jim"
<Jim.McKenna@por
toportland.com>
04/14/2008 01:19
PM
Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
<rjw@nwnatural.com>,
<voster@anchorenv.com>,
<kpine@anchorenv.com>
Subject
Draft PRG/RG Table

Eric, I'm going over my notes from last week and wanted to clarify something. Are you planning on revising the draft PRG/RG table that Carl circulated (comparing EPA's approach to the LWG's)? I seem to recall you were going to update this draft table based on what you heard at the meeting. Is that your understanding? Jim.